Case: 4:16-cv-00811-JCH Doc. #: 1 Filed: 07/25/15 Page: 1 of 6 PageID #: 1

BARSHAY | SANDERS PLLC 100 GARDEN CITY PLAZA, SUITE 500 GARDEN CITY, NEW YORK 11530

### **BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC**

100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530

Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055

Email: ConsumerRights@BarshaySanders.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Our File No.: 109376

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF COLORADO DENVER DIVISION

JOSHUA PAUL TILATITSKY, Docket No:

Plaintiff, COMPLAINT

vs. JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEDICREDIT, INC.,

Defendant.

JOSHUA PAUL TILATITSKY (hereinafter referred to as "*Plaintiff*"), by and through the undersigned counsel, complains, states and alleges against Medicredit, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "*Defendant*"), as follows:

#### INTRODUCTION

1. This action seeks to recover for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.*, ("FDCPA") and New York General Business Law ("NYGBL") § 349.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. This Court has federal subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), and jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
  - 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant maintains

its business in Colorado.

- 4. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because Defendant resides in this Judicial District.
  - 5. At all relevant times, Defendant conducted business within Colorado.

## **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff is an individual who is a citizen of the State of New York.
- 7. Plaintiff, a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), is allegedly obligated to pay a debt.
- 8. On information and belief, Defendant's principal place of business is located in Broomfield, Colorado.
- 9. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
- 10. Defendant is a person who uses an instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts, or who regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another, and is therefore a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

### **ALLEGATIONS**

- 11. Plaintiff's alleged debt was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 12. Sometime after the incurrence of the debt, but before the initiation of this action, Plaintiff is alleged to have fallen behind on payments allegedly owed on the alleged debt.
- 13. At a time known only to Defendant, Plaintiff's alleged debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection.
- 14. In its efforts to collect the alleged debt, Defendant contacted Plaintiff by written correspondence annexed hereto as "Exhibit 1."
- 15. Defendant's written correspondence to Plaintiff is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
- 16. As set forth in the following Counts, Defendant's communication violated the FDCPA and NYGBL.

# FIRST COUNT Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g Validation of Debts

- 17. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 18. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g provides that within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing certain enumerated information.
  - 19. The written notice must contain the amount of the debt.
- 20. The written notice must contain the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.
- 21. The written notice must contain a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector.
- 22. The written notice must contain a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector.
- 23. The written notice must contain a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.
- 24. A debt collector has the obligation, not just to convey the required information, but also to convey such clearly.
- 25. Even if a debt collector conveys the required information accurately, the debt collector nonetheless violates the FDCPA if that information is overshadowed or contradicted by other language in the communication.
- 26. Even if a debt collector conveys the required information accurately, the debt collector nonetheless violates the FDCPA if that information is overshadowed by other collection activities during the 30-day validation period following the communication.

- 27. A collection activity or communication overshadows or contradicts the validation notice if it would make the "unsophisticated consumer" uncertain or confused as to her rights.
- 28. Defendant's letter states, "This is to inform you that Cartersville Medical Center has placed your account with this agency with the full intention of collecting this account."
- 29. Defendant's letter states, "Please give this past due account the attention it deserves."
  - 30. Defendant's letter gives Plaintiff two options.
- 31. Defendant's letter states, "Please either: 1. Remit payment in full to this office or,2. Contact this office by telephone to arrange resolution of the account.
- 32. Conspicuously absent from the provided options is the Plaintiff's right not to make payment or call Defendant, but to dispute the debt or demand validation of the debt as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1692g.
- 33. The letter contains no transitional language explaining that the "options" do not override Plaintiff's right to dispute the debt or seek validation of the alleged debt, as set forth at the bottom of the letter.
  - 34. The "options" provided contradict the required disclosures.
  - 35. The "options" provided overshadow the required disclosures.
- 36. The "options" provided would make the unsophisticated consumer uncertain or confused as to her rights.
- 37. Defendant's conduct would likely make the unsophisticated consumer uncertain as to her rights.
- 38. Defendant's conduct would likely make the unsophisticated consumer confused as to her rights.
- 39. Defendant has violated § 1692g as the above-referenced language overshadows the information required to be provided by that Section.

# SECOND COUNT Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e False or Misleading Representations

- 40. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 41. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e prohibits a debt collector from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.

- 42. While § 1692e specifically prohibits certain practices, the list is non-exhaustive, and does not preclude a claim of falsity or deception based on non-enumerated practice.
- 43. Collection notices are deceptive if they can be reasonably read to have two or more different meanings, one of which is inaccurate.
- 44. The question of whether a collection letter is deceptive is determined from the perspective of the "unsophisticated consumer."
- 45. Because the collection letter in the instant case was reasonably susceptible to an inaccurate reading, as described above, it is deceptive within the meaning of the FDCPA.
  - 46. The unsophisticated consumer would likely be deceived by Defendant's conduct.
- 47. The unsophisticated consumer would likely be deceived in a material way by Defendant's conduct.
- 48. Defendant has violated § 1692e by using a false, deceptive and misleading representation in its attempt to collect a debt.

# THIRD COUNT Violation of New York General Business Law § 349

- 49. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 50. Defendant owed a duty to Plaintiff to effect its collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt with reasonable care.
- 51. Defendant's conduct as described herein shows a lack of exercise of reasonable care in Defendant's collection of the alleged debt.
- 52. Defendant breached its duty to collect Plaintiff's alleged debt with reasonable care.
- 53. Defendant's conduct was committed by Defendant in the conduct of a business, trade or commerce or the furnishing of a service in New York State and constitutes a violation of NY GBL § 349(a).
- 54. Defendant's conduct was consumer-orientated in that the letter was sent in an effort to collect an alleged consumer debt.
- 55. Defendant's conduct has a broader impact on consumers at large as, upon information and belief, Defendant has sent the subject form letter to hundreds of consumers.
  - 56. Plaintiff is a reasonable consumer.

- 57. Defendant's conduct would mislead a reasonable consumer.
- 58. Defendant engaged in a material deceptive act or practice as described herein.
- 59. Defendant's conduct caused plaintiff to suffer injury.
- 60. Defendant violated NY GBL § 349(a) and is liable to Plaintiff pursuant to NY GBL § 349(h).

### **JURY DEMAND**

61. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

- a. Statutory damages against Defendant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k of \$1,000.00; and
- b. Plaintiff's attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- c. Plaintiff's actual damages; and
- d. Damages against Defendant pursuant to NYGBL § 349; and
- e. Plaintiff's costs; together with
- f. Such other relief that the Court determines is just and proper.

DATED: July 24, 2015

### **BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC**

By: \_/s/ Craig B. Sanders BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC 100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530

Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055

csanders@barshaysanders.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Our File No.: 109376